

Poisson (co)homology of truncated polynomial algebras in two variables

Stéphane Launois* and Lionel Richard†

Abstract

We study the Poisson (co)homology of the algebra of truncated polynomials in two variables viewed as the semi-classical limit of a quantum complete intersection studied by Bergh and Erdmann. We show in particular that the Poisson cohomology ring of such a Poisson algebra is isomorphic to the Hochschild cohomology ring of the corresponding quantum complete intersection.

Key words: Poisson cohomology, truncated polynomial algebra, quantum complete intersections.

1 Introduction

Given a Poisson algebra, its Poisson cohomology—as introduced by Lichnerowicz in [13]—provides important informations about the Poisson structure (the Casimir elements are reflected by the degree zero cohomology, Poisson derivations modulo Hamiltonian derivations by the degree one,...). It also plays a crucial role in the study of deformations of the Poisson structure. A classical problem in algebraic deformation is to compare the Poisson (co)homology of a Poisson algebra with the Hochschild (co)homology of its deformation. Although these homologies are known to behave similarly in smooth cases (see e.g. [7, 9, 10, 12]), the singular case seems more complicated to deal with. There are many examples in which the trace groups already do not match in [1]. Even though the dimension of the homology spaces in degree zero match, as for Kleinian singularities in [2], it might not be the case in higher degree (see [3] and [14]).

Our aim in this paper is to provide an example of a singular Poisson algebra such that its Poisson cohomology ring is isomorphic as a graded commutative algebra to the Hochschild cohomology ring of a natural deformation. Namely for two integers $a, b \geq 2$ we consider the truncated polynomial algebra $\Lambda(a, b) := \mathbb{C}[X, Y]/(X^a, Y^b)$ with the Poisson bracket given by $\{X, Y\} = XY$. This algebra is the semi-classical limit of the quantum complete intersection $\Lambda_q(a, b)$ studied by Bergh and Erdmann in [5]. The homological properties of this class of non-commutative finite-dimensional algebras have been extensively studied recently (see for instance [8, 4, 5, 6]). In particular it is proved in [8] that the generic quantum exterior algebra (corresponding to the case $a = b = 2$) provides a counter-example to Happel's question. That is, the global dimension of $\Lambda_q(2, 2)$ is infinite whereas its Hochschild cohomology groups vanish in degree greater than 2. Recently the Hochschild cohomology ring of $\Lambda_q(a, b)$ has been described

*This research was supported by a Marie Curie European Reintegration Grant within the 7th European Community Framework Programme.

†Research supported by EPSRC Grant EP/D034167/1. L.R. wishes to thank the IMSAS of the University of Kent (UK) for its kind hospitality during his stays in Canterbury in September 2007 and April 2008.

in [5] when q is not a root of unity. It is a five-dimensional graded algebra isomorphic to the fibre product $\mathcal{F} := \mathbb{C}[U]/(U^2) \times_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}\langle V, W \rangle / (V^2, VW + WV, W^2)$, with U in degree zero and V and W in degree one. In this paper we obtain the same description for the Poisson cohomology ring of $\Lambda(a, b)$, giving rise to

Theorem 1.1. *For all $a, b \geq 2$ one has $HP^*(\Lambda(a, b)) \simeq \mathcal{F} \simeq HH^*(\Lambda_q(a, b))$ as graded commutative algebras, where $HP^*(\Lambda(a, b))$ is endowed with the Poisson cup product induced by the exterior product on skew-symmetric multiderivations.*

Note that $\Lambda(a, b)$ is not unimodular (see for instance [11] for a recent survey on the modular class of a Poisson manifold). Indeed, there is no Poincaré duality between $HP^k(\Lambda(a, b))$ and $HP_{2-k}(\Lambda(a, b))$. For instance $HP^2(\Lambda(a, b))$ has dimension 1 whereas $HP_0(\Lambda(a, b))$ has dimension $a + b - 1$. One cannot even expect a twisted Poincaré duality as in [12] (see the paragraph below Lemma 3.1). However, the Nakayama automorphism ν coming from the Frobenius structure of the quantum complete intersection $\Lambda_q(a, b)$ (see [5]) allows us to construct a Poisson module M_ν such that

Theorem 1.2. *$HP^k(\Lambda(a, b)) \simeq HP_k(\Lambda(a, b), M_\nu)$ for all nonnegative integer k .*

2 Poisson cohomology of truncated polynomials

The algebra $\Lambda(a, b)$ has dimension ab , with basis $\{e_{ij} := X^i Y^j \mid 0 \leq i \leq a - 1, 0 \leq j \leq b - 1\}$. One easily checks that the following formulas hold in $\Lambda(a, b)$ for all i, j :

$$\{X^i Y^j, X\} = -j X^{i+1} Y^j; \quad \{X^i Y^j, Y\} = i X^i Y^{j+1}. \quad (1)$$

Recall that the complex computing the Poisson cohomology is (χ^k, δ_k) , with χ^k the space of skew-symmetric k -derivations of $\Lambda(a, b)$. Interestingly, these spaces vanish for $k \geq 3$, since $\Lambda(a, b)$ is 2-generated. The Poisson coboundary operator $\delta_k : \chi^k \rightarrow \chi^{k+1}$ is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_k(P)(f_0, \dots, f_k) &:= \sum_{i=0}^k (-1)^i \left\{ f_i, P(f_0, \dots, \widehat{f}_i, \dots, f_k) \right\} \\ &\quad + \sum_{0 \leq i < j \leq k} (-1)^{i+j} P\left(\{f_i, f_j\}, f_0, \dots, \widehat{f}_i, \dots, \widehat{f}_j, \dots, f_k\right) \end{aligned}$$

for all $P \in \chi^k$ and $f_0, \dots, f_k \in \Lambda(a, b)$. It is easy to check that $\delta_k(P)$ belongs indeed to χ^{k+1} and that $\delta_{k+1} \circ \delta_k = 0$. The k^{th} Poisson cohomology space of $\Lambda(a, b)$, denoted by $HP^k(\Lambda(a, b))$, is the k^{th} cohomology space of this complex. The Poisson cohomology ring is the space $HP^*(\Lambda(a, b)) := \bigoplus_{k=0}^{\infty} HP^k(\Lambda(a, b))$. Endowed with the cup product induced by the exterior product on $\chi^* := \bigoplus_k \chi^k$ it becomes a graded commutative algebra. We start by describing the spaces $HP^k(\Lambda(a, b))$ for $k = 0, 1, 2$ as it is clear that $HP^k(\Lambda(a, b)) = 0$ for $k \geq 3$.

Let $\lambda = \sum \lambda_{ij} e_{ij} \in \Lambda(a, b)$, and assume it satisfies $\{\lambda, X\} = \{\lambda, Y\} = 0$. Then equations (1) lead successively to $\lambda_{ij} = 0$ for all $i \neq a - 1$ and all $j \neq 0$, and then to $\lambda_{ij} = 0$ for all $i \neq 0$ and $j \neq b - 1$. Hence

Proposition 2.1. *The Poisson centre $HP^0(\Lambda(a, b))$ is equal to $\mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C} X^{a-1} Y^{b-1} = \mathbb{C} e_{0,0} \oplus \mathbb{C} e_{a-1,b-1}$.*

Any derivation $d \in \chi^1$ is uniquely determined by the values of $d(X) = \sum \lambda_{ij} e_{ij}$ and $d(Y) = \sum \lambda'_{ij} e_{ij}$. Moreover, d must satisfy the relations $d(X^a) = d(Y^b) = 0$, that is $X^{a-1}d(X) = Y^{b-1}d(Y) = 0$, since d is a derivation. From that one easily deduces that $\lambda_{0j} = \lambda'_{i0} = 0$ for all i, j . Hence the space $\chi^1 = \text{Der}(A, A)$ has basis $\{d_{ij}\} \cup \{d'_{ij}\}$, where:

1. for $1 \leq i < a$ and $0 \leq j < b$, the derivation d_{ij} is defined by $d_{ij}(X) = X^i Y^j$ and $d_{ij}(Y) = 0$;
2. for $0 \leq i < a$ and $1 \leq j < b$, the derivation d'_{ij} is defined by $d'_{ij}(X) = 0$ and $d'_{ij}(Y) = X^i Y^j$.

In particular, $\dim(\chi^1) = b(a-1) + a(b-1)$. Let $d = \sum_{i \neq 0} \alpha_{i,j} d_{i,j} + \sum_{j \neq 0} \beta_{i,j} d'_{i,j} \in \chi^1$. Then $d \in \text{Ker} \delta_1$ if and only if it satisfies $d(\{X, Y\}) = \{d(X), Y\} + \{X, d(Y)\}$, that is:

$$\sum_{j \neq 0} \beta_{i,j} X^{i+1} Y^j + \sum_{i \neq 0} \alpha_{i,j} X^i Y^{j+1} = \sum_{i \neq 0} i \alpha_{i,j} X^i Y^{j+1} + \sum_{j \neq 0} j \beta_{i,j} X^{i+1} Y^j.$$

Identifying the coefficients in front of $X^i Y^j$ leads to

$$d \in \text{Ker} \delta_1 \iff (1-j)\beta_{i-1,j} + (1-i)\alpha_{i,j-1} = 0 \quad \forall 1 \leq i \leq a-1, 1 \leq j \leq b-1. \quad (2)$$

Proposition 2.2. $HP^1(\Lambda(a, b)) = \mathbb{C}d_{1,0} \oplus \mathbb{C}d'_{0,1}$.

Proof. Let $d = \sum_{i \neq 0} \alpha_{i,j} d_{i,j} + \sum_{j \neq 0} \beta_{i,j} d'_{i,j} \in \text{Ker} \delta_1$. Set $\lambda := \sum_{j \neq 0} \frac{\alpha_{i+1,j}}{j} X^i Y^j \in \Lambda(a, b)$. From (1) one deduces that $d_1 = d + \{\lambda, -\}$ is a Poisson derivation satisfying $d_1(X) = \sum_{i \geq 1} \alpha_{i,0} X^i$. Then one deduces from (2) that $\alpha_{i,0} = 0$ for all $i \neq 1$, that is $d_1(X) = \alpha_{1,0} X$, and $d_1(Y) = \sum_{i=0}^{a-2} \beta_{i,1} X^i Y + \sum_{j=1}^{b-1} \beta_{a-1,j} X^{a-1} Y^j$. Now set $\mu := \sum_{i=1}^{a-2} \frac{\beta_{i,1}}{i} X^i + \sum_{j=1}^{b-1} \frac{\beta_{a-1,j}}{a-1} X^{a-1} Y^{j-1}$ and $d_2 := d_1 - \{\mu, -\}$. From the construction we get that $d_2 = \alpha_{1,0} d_{1,0} + \beta_{0,1} d'_{0,1}$, so that the images of $d_{1,0}$ and $d'_{0,1}$ span $HP^1(\Lambda(a, b))$. One deduces from (1) that they actually form a basis of $HP^1(\Lambda(a, b))$. $\square$

The complex computing the Poisson cohomology is vanishing after χ^2 , so we use the Euler-Poincaré principle to compute the dimension of $HP^2(\Lambda(a, b))$. First note that a skew-symmetric derivation $f \in \chi^2$ is determined by $f(X \wedge Y) = \sum c_{ij} e_{ij}$, with $aX^{a-1}f(X \wedge Y) = bY^{b-1}f(X \wedge Y) = 0$, so that $c_{0j} = c_{i0} = 0$ for all i, j . Thus χ^2 has dimension $(a-1)(b-1)$.

Proposition 2.3. $HP^2(\Lambda(a, b)) = \mathbb{C}f_{1,1}$, with $f_{1,1} : X \wedge Y \mapsto XY$.

Proof. We first prove that $HP^2(\Lambda(a, b))$ has dimension 1. From the Euler-Poincaré principle we get $\dim(HP^2(\Lambda(a, b))) = \dim \chi^2 - \text{rg} \delta_1 = (a-1)(b-1) - (\dim \chi^1 - \dim \text{Ker} \delta_1) = (a-1)(b-1) - [a(b-1) + b(a-1) - (\dim HP^1(\Lambda(a, b)) + \text{rg} \delta_0)] = 1 - ab + (2 + \dim \Lambda(a, b) - \dim \text{Ker} \delta_0) = 3 - ab + ab - 2 = 1$. Now all that remains is to check that $X \wedge Y \mapsto XY$ is not a Poisson coboundary. Any $P \in \chi^1$ satisfies $\delta_1(P)(X \wedge Y) = \{X, P(Y)\} - \{Y, P(X)\} - P(XY)$. Moreover one has $P(XY) = P(X)Y + P(Y)X$, and it results straight from formulas (1) that one cannot have $\delta_1(P)(X \wedge Y) = XY$. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 1.1. We have already proved that the graded commutative algebra $HP^*(\Lambda(a, b))$ is five-dimensional with basis $(e_{0,0}, e_{a-1,b-1}, d_{1,0}, d'_{0,1}, f_{1,1})$ with degree respectively $(0, 0, 1, 1, 2)$. One can easily check that $e_{a-1,b-1}$ annihilates $d_{1,0}$, $d'_{0,1}$ and $f_{1,1}$, and that $d_{1,0} \smile d'_{0,1} = f_{1,1}$. Thus we have $HP^*(\Lambda(a, b)) \simeq \mathcal{F}$, and one concludes using [5, Theorem 3.3]. $\square$

Note that the basis $(e_{0,0}, e_{a-1,b-1}, d_{1,0}, d'_{0,1}, f_{1,1})$ of $HP^*(\Lambda(a, b))$ corresponds to the basis $(1, y^{b-1}x^{a-1}, g, h, hg)$ considered in the proof of [5, Theorem 3.3].

3 (Twisted) Poisson homology

Let M be a right Poisson module over $\Lambda(a, b)$ (the reader is referred to [12, Section 3.1] for the definition of a Poisson module). We denote by $\{-, -\}_M : M \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \Lambda(a, b) \rightarrow M$ its external bracket. Then one defines a chain complex on the $\Lambda(a, b)$ -modules $M \otimes_{\Lambda(a, b)} \Omega^k$, where Ω^k denotes the so-called Kähler differential k -forms of $\Lambda(a, b)$, as follows. The boundary operator $\partial_k : M \otimes_{\Lambda(a, b)} \Omega^k \rightarrow M \otimes_{\Lambda(a, b)} \Omega^{k-1}$ is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_k(m \otimes da_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge da_k) &= \sum_{i=1}^k (-1)^{i+1} \{m, a_i\}_M \otimes da_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \widehat{da_i} \wedge \cdots \wedge da_k \\ &\quad + \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq k} (-1)^{i+j} m \otimes d\{a_i, a_j\} \wedge da_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \widehat{da_i} \wedge \cdots \wedge \widehat{da_j} \wedge \cdots \wedge da_k, \end{aligned}$$

where we have removed the expressions under the hats in the previous sums and d denotes the exterior differential. The homology of this complex is denoted by $HP_*(\Lambda(a, b), M)$ and called the Poisson homology of the Poisson algebra $\Lambda(a, b)$ with values in the Poisson module M . Before computing the Poisson homology spaces for a specific module, we describe the spaces Ω^k . By definition Ω^k is a $\Lambda(a, b)$ -module generated by the wedge products of length k of the 1-differential forms dX, dY . In particular $\Omega^k = 0$ for $k \geq 3$. In the remaining cases the torsion coming from the relations $X^a = Y^b = 0$ leads to the following spaces:

$$\Omega^0 = \Lambda(a, b), \quad \Omega^1 = \bigoplus_{\substack{0 \leq i \leq a-2 \\ 0 \leq j \leq b-1}} \mathbb{C} X^i Y^j dX \oplus \bigoplus_{\substack{0 \leq i \leq a-1 \\ 0 \leq j \leq b-2}} \mathbb{C} X^i Y^j dY,$$

and

$$\Omega^2 = \bigoplus_{\substack{0 \leq i \leq a-2 \\ 0 \leq j \leq b-2}} \mathbb{C} X^i Y^j dX \wedge dY.$$

Their dimensions are respectively ab , $(a-1)b + b(a-1)$, and $(a-1)(b-1)$. As the bracket $\{\lambda, \mu\}$ belongs to the ideal generated by XY for any $\lambda, \mu \in \Lambda(a, b)$, one easily checks that:

Lemma 3.1. *$HP_0(\Lambda(a, b))$ has dimension $a + b - 1$.*

As $a + b - 1 \geq 3$ for any $a, b \geq 2$, and $HP^2(\Lambda(a, b))$ has dimension 1, this lemma shows that there is no Poincaré duality between $HP^k(\Lambda(a, b))$ and $HP_{2-k}(\Lambda(a, b))$.

We may ask now if there is a twisted duality similar to the one obtained in [12]. The Poisson algebra $\Lambda(a, b)$ is the semi-classical limit of the quantum complete intersection $\Lambda_q(a, b)$ which is the $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra generated by x, y with relations $xy = qyx$, $x^a = 0$, $y^b = 0$ (see [5]). Any diagonal automorphism σ of $\Lambda_q(a, b)$ defined by $\sigma(x) = q^\alpha x$ and $\sigma(y) = q^\beta y$ gives rise to a Poisson module M_σ of $\Lambda(a, b)$ via a semi-classical limit process (see [12, Section 3.1] for details). As a vector space M_σ is equal to $\Lambda(a, b)$, and the external Poisson bracket is defined by:

$$\{X^i Y^j, X\}_{M_\sigma} = -(j + \alpha) X^{i+1} Y^j \quad \text{and} \quad \{X^i Y^j, Y\}_{M_\sigma} = (i - \beta) X^i Y^{j+1}. \quad (3)$$

From these formulas one easily deduces that if $\alpha < -b + 1$ and $\beta > a - 1$, then $HP_0(\Lambda(a, b), M_\sigma) = \mathbb{C}$. So one might be tempted to use such a Poisson module to restore a twisted Poincaré duality between $HP^k(\Lambda(a, b))$ and $HP_{2-k}(\Lambda(a, b), M_\sigma)$ as in [12, Theorem 3.4.2]. Unfortunately, these hypotheses on α and β also lead to $HP_2(\Lambda(a, b), M_\sigma) = 0$, so that $HP_2(\Lambda(a, b), M_\sigma)$ is not isomorphic to $HP^0(\Lambda(a, b))$.

The Nakayama automorphism ν of $\Lambda_q(a, b)$ coming from the Frobenius algebra structure of $\Lambda_q(a, b)$ is defined by $\nu(x) = q^{1-b}x$ and $\nu(y) = q^{a-1}y$. This automorphism was used in [5, Section 3] to link the twisted Hochschild homology and the Hochschild cohomology of $\Lambda_q(a, b)$ in each degree. We end this paper by computing the dimensions of the Poisson homology spaces of $\Lambda(a, b)$ with value in the Poisson module M_ν corresponding to the Nakayama automorphism ν .

Proposition 3.2. *The twisted Poisson homology spaces $HP_k(\Lambda(a, b), M_\nu)$ have dimension 2, 2, 1 for $k = 0, 1, 2$ respectively, and are null if $k \geq 3$.*

Proof. From $\partial_1(X^i Y^j \otimes dX) = \{X^i Y^j, X\}_{M_\nu} = -(j - b + 1)X^{i+1}Y^j$ and $\partial_1(X^i Y^j \otimes dY) = \{X^i Y^j, Y\}_{M_\nu} = (i - a + 1)X^i Y^{j+1}$ one easily gets that $HP_0(\Lambda(a, b), M_\nu) = \Lambda(a, b)/\text{Im}\partial_1$ is generated as a $\mathbb{C}$ -vector space by the classes of 1 and $X^{a-1}Y^{b-1}$ modulo $\text{Im}\partial_1$.

We compute $\partial_2(X^i Y^j \otimes dX \wedge dY) = \{X^i Y^j, X\}_{M_\nu} \otimes dY - \{X^i Y^j, Y\}_{M_\nu} \otimes dX - X^i Y^j \otimes (XdY + YdX) = -(j - b + 2)X^{i+1}Y^j \otimes dY - (i - a + 2)X^i Y^{j+1} \otimes dX$, from which one easily sees that $\text{Ker}\partial_2 = HP_2(\Lambda(a, b), M_\nu)$ is the $\mathbb{C}$ -vector space generated by $X^{a-2}Y^{b-2} \otimes dX \wedge dY$.

We use the Euler-Poincaré principle to conclude. There is only one dimension missing, all the other ones have the same value as for the Poisson cohomology of $\Lambda(a, b)$, so we end up with the same value for $\dim HP_1(\Lambda(a, b), M_\nu)$, that is 2. $\square$

We deduce from Proposition 3.2 and Theorem 1.1 a duality similar to the one appearing in [5], that is $HP^k(\Lambda(a, b)) \simeq HP_k(\Lambda(a, b), M_\nu)$ for all nonnegative integer k as claimed in Theorem 1.2.

References

- [1] J. Alev and L. Foissy, *Le groupe des traces de Poisson de la variété quotient $h + h^*/W$ en rang 2*, preprint, arXiv:math.RA/0603142, to appear in Comm. Algebra.
- [2] J. Alev and T. Lambre, *Comparaison de l'homologie de Hochschild et de l'homologie de Poisson pour une déformation des surfaces de Klein*. In: Khakimdjanov Y., Goze M. and Ayupov S. (Eds), Algebra and operator theory (Tashkent, 1997), pp. 25–38. Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht (1998).
- [3] J. Alev, M. Farinati, T. Lambre and A. Solotar, *Homologie des invariants d'une algèbre de Weyl sous l'action d'un groupe fini*, J. Algebra **232** (2000) 564–577.
- [4] P.A. Bergh, *On the Hochschild (co)homology of quantum exterior algebras*, Comm. Algebra **25** (2007) 3440–3450.
- [5] P.A. Bergh and K. Erdmann, *(Co)homology of quantum complete intersections*, preprint, arXiv:0709.3029.
- [6] P.A. Bergh and S. Oppermann, *The representation dimension of quantum complete intersections*, preprint, arXiv:0710.2606, to appear in J. Algebra.
- [7] J.-L. Brylinski, *A differential complex for Poisson manifolds*, J. Diff. Geom. **28** (1988) 115–132.
- [8] R.-O. Buchweitz, E. Green, D. Madsen and O. Solberg, *Finite Hochschild cohomology without finite global dimension*, Math. Res. Lett. **12** (2005) 805–816.

- [9] C. Kassel, *L'homologie cyclique des algèbres enveloppantes*, Invent. Math. **91** (1988) 221–251.
- [10] M. Kontsevich, *Deformation quantization of Poisson manifolds*, Lett. Math. Phys. **66** (2003) 157–216.
- [11] Y. Kosmann-Schwarzbach, *Poisson manifolds, Lie algebroids, modular classes: a survey*, SIGMA **4** (2008).
- [12] S. Launois and L. Richard, *Twisted Poincaré duality for some quadratic Poisson algebras*, Lett. Math. Phys. **79** (2007) 161–174.
- [13] A. Lichnerowicz, *Les variétés de Poisson et leurs algèbres de Lie associées*, J. Diff. Geom. **12** (1977) 253–300.
- [14] A. Pichereau, *Poisson (co)homology and isolated singularities*, J. Algebra **299** (2006) 747–777.

Stéphane Launois
 Institute of Mathematics, Statistics and Actuarial Science
 University of Kent
 Canterbury CT2 7NF, UK
 E-mail: S.Launois@kent.ac.uk

Lionel Richard
 School of Mathematics
 University of Edinburgh
 Edinburgh EH9 3JZ, UK
 E-mail: Lionel.Richard@ed.ac.uk.